UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,159	10/03/2006	Jan Barnikov	2006_1322A	4315
	7590 10/07/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREE		PAK, YONG D		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		1,159	BARNIKOV ET A	BARNIKOV ET AL.				
		iner	Art Unit					
		D. PAK	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears or	n the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T  - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximaling the properties of the prop	HE MAILING DATE OF visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In rescommunication. num statutory period will apply a preply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of the	THIS COMMUN no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MC e application to become a	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(	s) filed on 30 August 2	006						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) ☐ This action							
' <u>=</u>	/ <b>—</b>		tters prosecution as to the	e merits is				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	<u></u>	L,,	,					
·		_						
	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-37</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected								
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-37</u> are sub	ect to restriction and/o	r election requirei	ment.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is	s/are: a)∏ accepted o	or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a calcal All b) Some * c) None  1. Certified copies of the property Certified copies of the property Copies of the certified copies of the property copies of the certified copies of the property copies of the certified copies of the property copies of the property copies of the certified copies of t	of: cority documents have cority documents have pies of the priority documents have	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date · Informal Patent Application 					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application is a 371 of PCT/EP05/50899.

The preliminary amendment filed on August 30, 2006, amending claims 1, 4-7, 916, 19, 21-31, and 37 and canceling claim 8, has been entered.

Claims 1-7 and 9-37 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, 9-30, and 37, drawn to an AGT mutant and a fusion protein comprising said AGT mutant.

Group II, claim(s) 31-36, drawn to a method for detecting and/or manipulating a protein of interest with a fusion protein comprising an AGT mutant and a protein of interest.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking Groups I-II appears to be that they all relate to an AGT mutant having the properties recited in claim 1.

However, Mijal et al. (Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2004, 17, 424-434 – form PTO-892) discloses an AGT mutant having 1 amino acid substitution (P140K) and has reduced DNA interaction and reduced reactivity against DNA-based substrates (O<sup>6</sup>-bzG) (page 428, right column and page 429 left column).

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-II does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

The special technical feature of Group I is an AGT mutant and a fusion protein comprising said AGT mutant.

The special technical feature of Group II is a method for detecting and/or manipulating a protein of interest with a fusion protein comprising an AGT mutant and a protein of interest.

Accordingly, Groups I-II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

AGT mutants having the properties recited in claims 1-7 and having the amino acid mutations recited in claims 9-30. Applicants are required to elect a specific AGT mutant having specific amino acid mutation(s) and its corresponding properties.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1-7 are drawn to AGT mutants having different properties.

Claims 9-30 are drawn to AGT mutants having different amino acid substitutuions.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-7 and 9-30.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each of the species have different structure and function, such as substrate specificity.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1652

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Pak whose telephone number is 571-272-0935. The examiner can normally be reached 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nashaat Nashed can be reached on 571-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).